

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 Northern District of California

3

4 GARNETT GEBHARDT

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 STEVEN CHU, et al.,

8 Defendants.

9

10 No. C 10-02807 MEJ

11

12 **ORDER RE: PROTECTIVE ORDER**

13

14 On February 4, 2011, the parties submitted two versions of a proposed stipulated protective  
15 order. (Dkt #29.) As neither side presented any justification for their preferred version of the  
protective order, the Court ordered them to file a joint letter addressing the differences. (Dkt. #31.)  
Having received the parties letter in response, (Dkt. #33), the Court finds Plaintiff's requested  
provisions unnecessary, and shall therefore issue Defendants' version as the protective order in this  
case.

16

In their letter, the parties also appear to raise a specific discovery dispute related to medical  
records. The Court's February 10, 2011 order did not contemplate specific disputes, and the parties'  
letter does not comply with the requirements of the undersigned's discovery standing order.  
Accordingly, the Court shall not consider the dispute as submitted.

17

18 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

19

20 Dated: February 18, 2011

21

22

23 Maria-Elena Jones  
24 Chief United States Magistrate Judge

25

26

27

28